

NEW RESULTS RELATED TO A CONJECTURE OF MANICKAM AND SINGHI

G. CHIASELOTTI, G. INFANTE, AND G. MARINO

ABSTRACT. In 1998 Manickam and Singhi conjectured that for every positive integer d and every $n \geq 4d$, every set of n real numbers whose sum is nonnegative contains at least $\binom{n-1}{d-1}$ subsets of size d whose sums are nonnegative. In this paper we establish new results related to this conjecture. We also prove that the conjecture of Manickam and Singhi does not hold for $n = 2d + 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we establish new results related to a conjecture of Manickam and Singhi (from now on, (MS)-conjecture). In order to illustrate the (MS)-conjecture and our results we need to introduce the following notation. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let I_n be the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A function $f : I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a n -weight function if

$$\sum_{x \in I_n} f(x) \geq 0.$$

Let $W_n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all n -weight functions. If $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$ we set

$$f^+ := |\{x \in I_n : f(x) \geq 0\}|.$$

If d is an integer with $1 \leq d \leq n$ and Y is a subset of I_n having d elements such that

$$\sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \geq 0,$$

we call Y a (d^+, n) -subset of f . If $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\phi(f, d)$ the number of distinct (d^+, n) -subsets of f .

Furthermore, we set

$$\psi(n, d) := \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

In 1988, Manickam and Singhi [14] stated the following conjecture:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05D05, secondary 05A15.

Key words and phrases. Weight functions.

This work was partially supported with “Fondi PRIN (ex40%)”.

(MS)-Conjecture : If d is a positive integer and f is a n -weight function with $n \geq 4d$, then

$$\psi(n, d) \geq \binom{n-1}{d-1}.$$

We remark that, as previously observed in [8], the conjecture is equivalent to require that $\psi(n, d) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}$.

This conjecture is interesting for several reasons. It is deeply related with the first distribution invariant of the Johnson-scheme [6, 12, 13, 14]. The distribution invariants were introduced by Bier [5] and later investigated in [7, 11, 12, 14]. Manickam and Singhi [14] claim that this conjecture is, in some sense, dual to the theorem of Erdős–Ko–Rado [9]. Also, as pointed out by Srinivasan [17], this conjecture settles some cases of another conjecture on multiplicative functions by Alladi, Erdős and Vaaler [1].

In general the conjecture of Manickam and Singhi still remains open. So far the following partial results have been achieved:

- (1) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $n = ud$, $u \geq 4$ (Corollary 1 of [6]).
- (2) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $d = 2$ (Corollary 3 of [6]).
- (3) If we set n in the form $n = ud + v$, where $u \geq 4$ and $v = 1, \dots, d-1$, the (MS)-conjecture is true if $r \leq \min \left\{ \frac{n-v-1}{(d-1)(d-2)}, \frac{n-v}{d} \right\}$ (Lemma 2 of [6]).
- (4) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $d = 3$ and $n \geq 93$ (Theorem of [6]).
- (5) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $r \leq d \leq n/2$ (Proposition 2 of [8]).
- (6) The (MS)-conjecture is true if it is true when $d < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$ (Proposition 5 of [8]).
- (7) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $d = 3$ (Section 3 of [16]).
- (8) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $n \geq 2^d d^{d+1} + 2d^3$ (Theorem 3 of [15]).
- (9) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $d > 3$ and $n \geq d(d-1)^d(d-2)^d + d(d-1)^2(d-2) + d[n]_k$, where $[n]_k$ denotes the smallest positive integer congruent to $n \pmod{k}$ (Main Theorem in [6]).
- (10) The (MS)-conjecture is true if $n \geq 2^{d+1}e^d d^{d+1}$ (Theorem 1 of [3]).

We point out that for $d > 4$ the best estimate between (8), (9) and (10) is (8).

Different techniques have been used to attempt to tackle the (MS)-conjecture. In [6, 8, 15] the approach is combinatorial. In particular Bier and Manickam [6] use a result of Baranyai (see for example [2, 18]). Manickam and Miklos [15] use a circle permutation method, previously utilized by Katona [10] for a simpler proof of the theorem of Erdős–Ko–Rado. The approach in [3, 4, 16] is somewhat different. In fact the techniques in [16] are analytical-combinatorial and in [3, 4] are probabilistic.

A natural question arises when one studies the (MS)-conjecture:

What is the value of $\psi(n, d)$ for each $d \leq n$?

In order to provide an answer to this question, in [8] the following numbers were introduced:

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbb{R}), f^+ = r\},$$

where $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r, d \leq n$.

It is clear that a complete computation of these numbers would also provide a complete determination of the numbers $\psi(n, d)$, since

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(n, d) = \min_{1 \leq r \leq n} \gamma(n, d, r).$$

In particular, the knowledge of $\gamma(n, d, r)$ when $n \geq 4d$ and r is an arbitrary integer such that $r \leq n$, would supply an answer to the (MS)-conjecture.

Remark 1.1. In general the computation of $\gamma(n, d, r)$, started in [8], is not an easy task. For some values of n, d, r , this has been done in [8]. Nevertheless we stress that there is a gap in the proof of Proposition 2 of [8]. In particular, this means that it is not clear whether the identity

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{n-1}{d-1}$$

holds or not for $r \leq d \leq n/2$.

In this paper we continue the study of the numbers $\gamma(n, d, r)$. Here we establish some lower and upper bounds for $\gamma(n, d, r)$ when $d \leq r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$. From these inequalities we obtain that

$$(1.2) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1},$$

when $n = 2d + 2$ with $r = 2d - 1$ and when $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$. Combining our results with the ones in [8] we obtain the following values of $\gamma(n, d, r)$:

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{d-1} & \text{if } r \leq d \leq \frac{n}{2} \quad (\star) \\ \binom{n-r}{d-r} & \text{if } r \leq d < n \quad \text{and} \quad r < \frac{n}{n-d} \\ \binom{r}{d} & \text{if } d < r < n \quad \text{and} \quad r > \frac{d-1}{d}n \\ \binom{n-1}{d-1} & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r-1}{d-1} & \text{if } n = 2d + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad r = 2d - 1 \\ \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r-1}{d-1} & \text{if } r \geq d \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{d-1}{d}n, \end{cases}$$

where $(\star)$ in the first row means that the equality in that case is uncertain (see Remark 1.1). We stress that the determination of the numbers $\gamma(n, d, r)$ in general is an open problem.

A straightforward consequence of (1.2) is that the (MS)-conjecture does not hold if $n = 2d + 2$. This provides another range of values of n , when $n < 4d$, for which the (MS)-conjecture does not hold. Note that Bier and Manickam [6] already proved that (MS)-conjecture does not hold in general. In particular they proved that the (MS)-conjecture does not hold for $n = 2d + 1$, with $d \geq 2$, and for $n = 3d + 1$, with $d \geq 3$.

We also prove that, for $n = 2d + 2$ and $r = 2d - 1$, (1.2) improves the results of Lemma 1 of [6].

A key tool in our paper is Hall's Theorem, as far as we know, used here for the first time in this context. We use this Theorem to determine, in a non constructive way, certain biunivocal functions between complementary q -subsets of a set with $2q + 1$ elements. Such functions are important to compute the numbers $\gamma(n, d, r)$ in the case $n = 2d + 2$ and $r = 2d - 1$.

We also suggest a new algorithm to determine the previous functions also in a constructive way.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this Section we introduce some notation and prove some elementary arithmetical preliminaries useful in the sequel of this paper.

We shall assume that a generic weight function $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $f^+ = r$, has the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & \cdots & r & r+1 & \cdots & n \\ \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_r & y_1 & \cdots & y_{n-r} \end{array},$$

with

$$x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_r \geq 0 > y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq y_{n-r}.$$

Let us call the indexes $1, \dots, r$ the *non-negative elements* of f and the indexes $r+1, \dots, n$ the *negative elements* of f . The real numbers $x_1, \dots, x_r$ are said to be the *non-negative values* of f and the numbers $y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}$ are said to be the *negative values* of f .

If $i_1, \dots, i_\alpha$ are non-negative elements of f and $j_1, \dots, j_\beta$ are negative elements of f , with $i_1 < \dots < i_\alpha$ and $j_1 < \dots < j_\beta$, a subset A of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is said to be of type

$$(2.2) \quad [i_1, \dots, i_\alpha]_a^+ [j_1, \dots, j_\beta]_b^-$$

if A is made of a elements chosen in $\{i_1, \dots, i_\alpha\}$ and b elements chosen in $\{j_1, \dots, j_\beta\}$.

Let X be a finite set of integers. If q is an integer less or equal than $|X|$, we call q -string on X a sequence $a_1 \dots a_q$, where $a_1, \dots, a_q$ are distinct elements of X such that $a_1 < \dots < a_q$. The family of all the q -strings on X will be denoted by $X^{(q)}$. In this paper, each subset Y of X with q elements will be identified with the q -string of his elements ordered in an increasing way. When $i_1, \dots, i_k$ are non-negative elements of f and $j_1, \dots, j_l$ are negative elements of f , with $i_1 < \dots < i_k < j_1 < \dots < j_l$, the $(k+l)$ -string $i_1 \dots i_k j_1 \dots j_l$ will be written in the form

$$i_1 \dots i_k | (j_1 - r) \dots (j_l - r),$$

(thus $j_1 - r, \dots, j_l - r \in \{1, \dots, n - r\}$).

For example, if $n = 10$ and $r = 7$, the 4-string 1269 will be written in the form $126|9$.

Using the string-terminology instead of the set-terminology, in the sequel we call a (d^+, n) -subset of f a (d^+, n) -string of f .

Let us consider now the partition $\mathcal{P}$ of the real interval $(0, \frac{d-1}{d}n]$:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{P} = \left\{ 0, \frac{d-1}{d}, 2\frac{d-1}{d}, \dots, (n-1)\frac{d-1}{d}, n\frac{d-1}{d} \right\}.$$

The following Proposition establishes when an interval determined by $\mathcal{P}$ contains an integer.

Proposition 2.1. *If $k = 1, \dots, n$ and if $n - k \not\equiv_d 0$, there exists a unique integer r such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d-1}{d}(n-k) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}(n-k+1),$$

and r coincides with $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{d}(n-k+1) \rfloor$. Furthermore if $n - k \equiv_d 0$ no integer r satisfies (2.4).

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and set $m = n - k + 1$. Since the interval $(\frac{d-1}{d}(m-1), \frac{d-1}{d}m]$ has length $\frac{d-1}{d} < 1$, there is at most one integer r that satisfies (2.4). Let us now write m in the form

$$(2.5) \quad m = \tilde{q}d + s,$$

where $\tilde{q}, s$ are integers such that $\tilde{q} \geq 0, 1 \leq s \leq d$. Let us suppose now that $n - k \not\equiv_d 0$, that is $m \not\equiv_d 1$; then we have $2 \leq s \leq d$.

Let $r = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{d}m \rfloor$. We show that r satisfies (2.4).

Firstly, the second inequality of (2.4) is straightforward; secondly, for the first inequality we observe

$$\frac{d-1}{d}(m-1) = \frac{d-1}{d}(\tilde{q}d + s - 1) = \tilde{q}(d-1) + (s-1)\frac{d-1}{d}.$$

Furthermore

$$(2.6) \quad r = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{d}(\tilde{q}d + s) \rfloor = \lfloor \tilde{q}(d-1) + s - \frac{s}{d} \rfloor = \tilde{q}(d-1) + (s-1).$$

Therefore $r > \frac{d-1}{d}(m-1)$, since $s \geq 2$.

If $n - k \equiv_d 0$, that is $m \equiv_d 1$, in (2.5) we have $s = 1$ and (2.4) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{q}(d-1) < r \leq \tilde{q}(d-1) + \frac{d-1}{d}.$$

Note that (2.7) has no integer solutions. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let r be a positive integer such that*

$$(2.8) \quad d \leq r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n.$$

Then there exists a unique positive integer $b(r) \in \{1, \dots, n - r - 1\}$ that satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d-1}{d}(n - b(r)) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}(n - b(r) + 1),$$

Proof. By construction of partition $\mathcal{P}$, as in (2.3), there exists a unique $b(r) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that (2.9) holds.

We now show that $b(r)$ cannot exceed $n - r - 1$.

Firstly, we suppose that $b(r) > n - r$. Then, we write $b(r)$ in the form $b(r) = n - r + \zeta$, with ζ integer such that $1 \leq \zeta \leq r$. Since r satisfies (2.9), we have

$$\frac{d-1}{d}(r - \zeta) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}(r - \zeta + 1),$$

that is

$$(2.10) \quad \zeta(1 - d) < r \leq (d-1)(1 - \zeta).$$

Since $\zeta(1 - d) < 0$ and $(d-1)(1 - \zeta) \leq 0$, there is no positive integer r that satisfies (2.10).

Secondly, if $b(r) = n - r$, (2.9) becomes

$$\frac{d-1}{d}r < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}(r + 1),$$

that is

$$0 < r \leq d - 1,$$

contradicting the hypothesis (2.8). $\square$

We stress that the number $b(r)$ will play a key role in the sequel of the paper.

3. SOME UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR $\gamma(n, d, r)$

In this Section we establish an upper bound for $\gamma(n, d, r)$, when r satisfies (2.8). We also provide a lower bound for $\gamma(n, d, r)$ under one additional hypothesis.

Proposition 3.1. *Let r be a positive integer that satisfies*

$$d \leq r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n,$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{b(r), d-1\}} \binom{b(r)}{j} \binom{r}{d-j}.$$

Proof. Since $1 \leq b(r) \leq n - r - 1$, we construct a weight function $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $f^+ = r$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(f, d) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{b(r), d-1\}} \binom{b(r)}{j} \binom{r}{d-j}.$$

This is sufficient to prove the thesis.

Let $h = \min\{b(r), d-1\}$. Let α be a positive real number. In order to simplify the notation, we call β the number $\frac{r+b(r)(-\alpha)}{n-r-b(r)}$, in such a way that

$$r + b(r)(-\alpha) + (n - r - b(r))(-\beta) = 0$$

holds.

At this point we define the function

$$(3.3) \quad f_\alpha : \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & \cdots & r & r+1 & \cdots & r+b(r) & r+(b(r)+1) & \cdots & r+(n-r) \\ \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & -\alpha & \cdots & -\alpha & -\beta & \cdots & -\beta \end{array}.$$

We now show that for α sufficiently small, that is

$$(3.4) \quad 0 < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{r}{b(r)}, \frac{d}{h} - 1, \frac{d}{b(r)}\left(r - \frac{d-1}{d}(n - b(r))\right)\right\},$$

f_α is a weight function that satisfies (3.2).

In fact:

- a) the denominator of β , due to Lemma 2.2, is a positive number. Furthermore the numerator of β is a positive number if and only if $\alpha < \frac{r}{b(r)}$. Therefore (3.4) and the definition of β assure that f_α is a weight function.
- b) having $\alpha < d/h - 1$ is equivalent to require

$$(3.5) \quad \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{d-h \text{ times}} + \underbrace{(-\alpha) + \dots + (-\alpha)}_{h \text{ times}} \geq 0.$$

This condition assures that the subsets of the type

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{llll} [1, \dots, r]_d^+ & [r+1, \dots, r+b(r)]_0^-, & \text{in total} & \binom{b(r)}{0} \binom{r}{d} \\ [1, \dots, r]_{d-1}^+ & [r+1, \dots, r+b(r)]_1^-, & \text{in total} & \binom{b(r)}{1} \binom{r}{d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [1, \dots, r]_{d-h}^+ & [r+1, \dots, r+b(r)]_h^-, & \text{in total} & \binom{b(r)}{h} \binom{r}{d-h} \end{array}$$

are (d^+, n) -subsets of f

- c) firstly we note that the requirement

$$\alpha < \frac{d}{b(r)} \left(r - \frac{d-1}{d} (n - b(r)) \right)$$

is equivalent to require

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d-1}{d} (n - b(r)) + \alpha \frac{b(r)}{d} < r.$$

Lemma 2.2 assures the existence of a such α . Note that (3.7) is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{d-1 \text{ times}} + (-\beta) < 0,$$

that assures that the (d^+, n) -strings of f are only of the type (3.6). Therefore we have constructed a weight function f with r non-negative elements that satisfies (3.2).

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let r be a positive integer such that $r \geq d$ and $\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$. Then*

$$(3.9) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) \leq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Proof. The result follows directly from Proposition 3.1 since $b(r) = 1$.

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let r a positive integer such that $d \leq r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$. Let $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $f^+ = r$, as in (2.1). If*

$$(3.10) \quad x_1 + y_{n-r} \geq 0,$$

then

$$(3.11) \quad \phi(f, d) \geq \binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r) + \binom{r}{d} \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Proof. We can consider the d -strings of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of type

$$(3.12) \quad 1i_1 \dots i_{d-2} | (n-r),$$

where $i_1 \dots i_{d-2}$ are chosen in $\{2, \dots, r\}$.

By virtue of (3.10), each string of the type (3.12) is a (d^+, n) -string of f .

On the other hand, since $y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq y_{n-r}$, each string of type

$$(3.13) \quad 1i_1 \dots i_{d-2} | k,$$

where $i_1 \dots i_{d-2}$ are chosen in $\{2, \dots, r\}$ and k in $\{1, \dots, n-r\}$, will be a (d^+, n) -string of f .

The distinct strings of the type (3.13) are exactly $\binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r)$. There are moreover all the (d^+, n) -strings of f that are the d -strings on $\{1, \dots, r\}$. This proves the first inequality in (3.11). Moreover, since $r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$, we also have $n-r \geq \frac{r}{d-1}$. Therefore

$$\binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r) \geq \binom{r-1}{d-2} \frac{r}{d-1} = \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Thus the second inequality also holds. $\square$

As a direct consequence of Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 it follows that if r is a positive integer with $r \geq d$ such that $\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$, then

$$(3.14) \quad \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbb{R}), f^+ = r, x_1 + y_{n-r} \geq 0\} = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Remark 3.4. We conjecture that

$$(3.15) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1},$$

when $r \geq d$ and $\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$.

In Section 5 we give a partial answer to this conjecture. Note that, in order to prove (3.15), by Corollary 3.2 it is sufficient to show

$$(3.16) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Moreover, by virtue of (3.14), the inequality (3.16) is equivalent to the following:

$$(3.17) \quad \min\{\phi(f, d) : f \in W_n(\mathbb{R}), f^+ = r, x_k + y_{n-r} < 0, \\ \text{for every } k = 1, \dots, r\} \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

In Section 5 we shall prove this inequality in the special case $n = 2d + 2$.

We close this section providing a simple combinatorial interpretation of the inequalities

$$\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n.$$

For this purpose let us note that the last inequalities are equivalent to the following:

$$(3.18) \quad (n-r-1)(d-1) < r \leq (n-r)(d-1),$$

Let now r be a positive integer that satisfies (3.18) and $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $f^+ = r$, as in (2.1). Let us consider the following representation

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \sqcup + \sqcup + & \dots & + \sqcup + k_1 \\ \sqcup + \sqcup + & \dots & + \sqcup + k_2 \\ & \dots & & & & & \\ \sqcup + \sqcup + & \dots & + \sqcup + k_{n-r-1} \\ \sqcup + \sqcup + & \dots & + \sqcup + k_{n-r} \end{array},$$

where every $\sqcup$ can be seen as a “box” initially empty and every row contains $d-1$ boxes. Every of such boxes can be occupied by at most one non-negative element of f . Thus (3.18) is equivalent to state that $n-r-1$ rows in (3.19) must be completely occupied, whereas the last row must contain at least a non-empty box and, furthermore, the number of non-negative elements of f cannot exceed the number of empty boxes in (3.19). This combinatorial interpretation of (3.18) suggests to examine firstly the (d^+, n) -strings of f of the form $+\dots+ -$, that is a subset with $d-1$ non-negative elements and only one negative.

4. AN APPLICATION OF HALL’S THEOREM

In this Section we use Hall’s theorem on distinct representatives to determine some biunivocal functions between q -subsets of a set with $2q+1$ elements. The results of this Section are used in Section 5 to determine $\gamma(n, d, r)$ when $r = 2d-1$ and $n = 2d+2$.

We now introduce some definitions and notation useful in the sequel.

Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 2q, 2q+1\}$, where q is a fixed positive integer.

Given a q -string $a_1 \dots a_q \in \Omega^{(q)}$, for notation convenience we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_q(a_1 \dots a_q)$ the family of all the q -strings on $\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_q\}$, that is

$$\mathfrak{C}_q(a_1 \dots a_q) = (\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_q\})^{(q)} = \{b_1 \dots b_q : b_1, \dots, b_q \in \Omega, b_i \neq a_j, i, j = 1, \dots, q\}.$$

Note that the family $\mathfrak{C}_q(a_1 \dots a_q)$ has exactly $\binom{q+1}{q} = q + 1$ distinct q -strings.

A q -string in $\mathfrak{C}_q(a_1 \dots a_q)$ will be called a q -almost-complementary (or q -AC) of $a_1, \dots, a_q$.

From now on we call p the number of the distinct q -strings of $\Omega^{(q)}$, that is $p = \binom{2q+1}{q}$. We denote by $A_1, \dots, A_p$ all the q -strings of $\Omega^{(q)}$ such that

$$A_1 \prec \dots \prec A_p,$$

where $\prec$ is the usual lexicographic order.

Definition 4.1. A q -pairing of almost-complementaries on Ω (or q -PAC on Ω) is a biunivocal function $\varphi : \Omega^{(q)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(q)}$ such that $\varphi(A_i)$ is a q -AC of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, p$, that is

$$\varphi(A_i) \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_i),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Let us set now $\mathfrak{F}_q = \{\mathfrak{C}_q(A_1), \dots, \mathfrak{C}_q(A_p)\}$.

We recall that the family $\mathfrak{F}_q$ has a system of distinct representatives (SDR), say $(C_1, \dots, C_p)$, if $C_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_1), \dots, C_p \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_p)$ and $C_i \neq C_j$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ with $i \neq j$.

Proposition 4.2. The family $\mathfrak{F}_q$ has a SDR if and only if there exists a q -PAC on Ω .

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $(C_1, \dots, C_p)$ be a SDR for $\mathfrak{F}_q$. This means that all the C_i are distinct q -strings and that $C_i \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Thus the function

$$\varphi : \Omega^{(q)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(q)}$$

defined by

$$\varphi(A_i) = C_i \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_i),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$, is a q -PAC on Ω .

Necessity. If φ is a q -PAC on Ω , then φ is a bijection such that $\varphi(A_i) \in \mathfrak{C}_q(A_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Since φ is a bijection, $\varphi(A_1), \dots, \varphi(A_p)$ is a SDR for $\mathfrak{F}_q$. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. For every positive integer q there exists a q -PAC on Ω .

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 4.2 it is sufficient to show that the family $\mathfrak{F}_q$ has a SDR, i.e. that the well-known Hall's condition holds:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{for every } I \subset \{1, \dots, p\}, \text{ we have } \left| \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{C}_q(A_i) \right| \geq |I|.$$

Therefore, let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be an arbitrary subset of indices $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let $Y := \mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_1}) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_k}) = \{C_1, \dots, C_a\}$. With this notation (4.1) is equivalent to $a \geq k$, therefore we shall prove now this last inequality. Set $\mathfrak{A} := \{\mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_1}), \dots, \mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_k})\}$. For all $C_l \in Y$ we denote by $d_{\mathfrak{A}}(C_l)$ the degree of C_l respect to the family $\mathfrak{A}$, that is the number of distinct sets $\mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_j})$ that contain C_l . We have previously observed that $|\mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_j})| = q + 1$ for all A_{i_j} , moreover, by a classical double counting principle we also have

$$\sum_{l=1}^a d_{\mathfrak{A}}(C_l) = \sum_{j=1}^k |\mathfrak{C}_q(A_{i_j})|,$$

hence

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{l=1}^a d_{\mathfrak{A}}(C_l) = k(q + 1).$$

On the other hand, every C_l is a q -string, let us say $C_l = c_1 \dots c_q$, which belongs to the sets $\mathfrak{C}_q(a_1 \dots a_q)$, where $a_1 \dots a_q$ is a q -AC of $c_1 \dots c_q$. Since the number of the distinct q -AC strings of $c_1 \dots c_q$ is $\binom{q+1}{q}$, it follows that every C_l belongs exactly to $q + 1$ subsets $\mathfrak{C}_q(A_s)$, with $s = 1, \dots, p$; therefore $d_{\mathfrak{A}}(C_l) \leq q + 1$ for $l = 1, \dots, a$. By (4.2) we obtain then

$$k(q + 1) \leq a(q + 1),$$

i.e. $k \leq a$. □

The Proposition 4.3 does not provide an explicit construction of a q -PAC on Ω . In order to construct a q -PAC on Ω we suggest the following Algorithm:

q -PAC Algorithm

Input: a positive integer q

Output: a q -PAC on Ω

Step 1: Write all the q -strings of $\Omega^{(q)}$ ordered in increasing way with respect to the lexicographic order

$$B_1 \prec B_2 \prec \dots \prec B_p,$$

and put them in an array $\text{Dom}[\mathbf{p}]$ of q -strings, that has p positions, where $p = \binom{2q+1}{q}$.

Step 2: For all $i = 1, \dots, p$ write all the q -strings of $\mathfrak{C}_q(B_i)$ in decreasing lexicographic order

$$C_{i_1} \succ \dots \succ C_{i_{q+1}}.$$

Step 3: Set up an array $\text{Im}[p]$ of q -strings, that has p positions, and initialize every position with the q -string with all zero entries.

Step 4: For all $i = 1, \dots, p$ examine in sequence the q -strings $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_{q+1}}$ and put the first of such q -strings that does not appear in $\text{Im}[1], \dots, \text{Im}[i]$ in the position $\text{Im}[i]$.

Then the correspondence $\text{Dom}[i] \mapsto \text{Im}[i]$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) provides a q -PAC on Ω .

For small values of q we have implemented the previous algorithm in Java. For example, if $q = 3$ then $p = \binom{2q+1}{q} = \binom{7}{3} = 35$. In this case $\text{Dom}[35]$ and $\text{Im}[35]$ are two arrays with 35 position, both containing all the 3-strings on $\{1, \dots, 7\}$. The execution of our program for $q = 3$ provides the following result (the strings on the left of $---$ are those of $\text{Dom}[35]$, the strings on the right of $---$ are those of $\text{Im}[35]$):

```

123 ---> 567; 124 ---> 367; 125 ---> 467; 126 ---> 457; 127 ---> 456;
      134 ---> 267; 135 ---> 247; 136 ---> 257; 137 ---> 256;
            145 ---> 237; 146 ---> 357; 147 ---> 356;
                  156 ---> 347; 157 ---> 346;
                        167 ---> 345;
234 ---> 167; 235 ---> 147; 236 ---> 157; 237 ---> 156;
      245 ---> 137; 246 ---> 135; 247 ---> 136;
            256 ---> 134; 257 ---> 146;
                  267 ---> 145;
                        345 ---> 127; 346 ---> 125; 347 ---> 126;
                                356 ---> 124; 357 ---> 246;
                                      367 ---> 245;
                                          456 ---> 123; 457 ---> 236;
                                                  467 ---> 235;
                                                          567 ---> 234;

```

5. THE CASE $d \leq r$, $\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$, $n = 2d + 2$

In this Section we shall assume that $n = 2d + 2$ and that r is a positive integer such that $r \geq d$, $\frac{d-1}{d}(n-1) < r \leq \frac{d-1}{d}n$. Under such hypotheses we can apply the Proposition 2.1 to the case $k = 1$, obtaining

$$r = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{d}n \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{d}(2d+2) \rfloor = 2d-1 = n-3.$$

For such values of r and n we determine the value of $\gamma(n, d, r)$. This result implies that in this case the (MS)-conjecture does not hold. We also compare our results with the ones in [6].

Theorem 5.1. *If $n = 2d + 2$ and $r = 2d - 1 = n - 3$ then*

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Proof. Due to Remark 3.4 we only need to show (3.17) when $n - r = 3$. Thus take $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $f^+ = r$, as in (2.1) and suppose that $x_k + y_3 < 0$ for every $k = 1, \dots, r$.

Take $q = d - 1$ (and therefore $r = 2q + 1$). By Proposition 4.3 there exists a q -PAC on Ω , where $\Omega = \{1, \dots, r\} = \{1, \dots, 2q + 1\}$. We use the notation introduced in Section 4. Take $\Omega^{(q)} = \{A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ with the lexicographic order:

$$A_1 \prec \dots \prec A_p,$$

where $p = \binom{2q+1}{q}$. Let $C_s = \varphi(A_s)$ for $s = 1, \dots, p$.

Since A_s and C_s are q -strings with no common elements there exists in Ω a unique element, say i_s , that is not an element of the q -string A_s and nor an element of the q -string C_s . We point out that the elements $i_1, \dots, i_p$ are not distinct between them, since $p > r$.

If $A = t_1 \dots t_{d-1} \in \Omega^{(q)}$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$, with the notation $A|k$ we mean the d -string $t_1 \dots t_{d-1}|k$ and with $i_s|3$ the 2-string with the non-negative element i_s and with the negative element 3. We now consider the following configuration:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_1|1 & C_1|2 & i_1|3 \\ A_2|1 & C_2|2 & i_2|3 \\ & \dots & \\ A_p|1 & C_p|2 & i_p|3 \end{array}.$$

Since φ is a bijection, the q -strings $C_1|2, \dots, C_p|2$ are themselves distinct. Moreover, since φ is a q -PAC on Ω , each row in (5.2) contains all the elements (non-negative and negative) of f . Since the function f is a weight function and from the hypothesis we have $x_{i_s} + y_3 < 0$ (that is each $i_s|3$ corresponds to a negative sum), in every s th-row of the configuration (5.2) at least one d -string between $A_s|1$ and $C_s|2$ must be a (d^+, n) -string for f .

This shows that the number of the distinct (d^+, n) -strings for f is at least equal to the number of the rows in (5.2), that is $p = \binom{2q+1}{q} = \binom{r}{d-1}$.

The remaining (d^+, n) -strings for f that we need in order to obtain (3.17) are all the d -strings of Ω , which are $\binom{r}{d}$. This shows that $\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}$.

Since f is arbitrary, (3.17) follows. $\square$

From this result we deduce the following consequence on the (MS)-conjecture.

Corollary 5.2. *The (MS)-conjecture does not hold when $n = 2d + 2$ and $d \geq 3$.*

Proof. We take $r = 2d - 1$. Then from (1.1) and Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\psi(n, d) \leq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1},$$

and for such values of r and n we have

$$\binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1} = \frac{2(2d-1)!}{d!(d-1)!} < \frac{(2d+1)!}{(d-1)!(d+2)!} = \binom{n-1}{d-1},$$

if $d \geq 3$. $\square$

When $n = 2d + 2$ and $r = 2d - 1$, from Theorem 5.1 it follows that

$$\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{2d-1}{d} + \binom{2d-1}{d-1} > \binom{2d-1}{d-1} = \binom{(2d+2)-2-1}{d-1},$$

that is

$$(5.3) \quad \phi(f, d) > \binom{n-k-1}{d-1}.$$

This inequality improves the estimate

$$(5.4) \quad \phi(f, d) \geq \binom{n-k-1}{d-1},$$

obtained in [6] under the additional hypotheses

$$(i) \sum_{x \in I_n} f(x) = 0,$$

$$(ii) \sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \neq 0 \text{ for all } Y \subseteq I_n \text{ such that } |Y| = d.$$

6. THE CASE $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$

There is also another case when we can prove

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

This is the case $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$. In such case $d|n$ and therefore $r \geq d$ if $d \geq 2$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$. Then*

$$\gamma(n, d, r) = \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Proof. The condition $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$ is equivalent to $r = (d-1)(n-r)$. Take $f \in W_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $f^+ = r$. Then we can build partitions $\mathfrak{S}$ of the set $\{1, \dots, r, r+1, \dots, n\}$ of the type $\mathfrak{S} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{n-r}\}$, where

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 &= i_{1,1} \dots i_{1,d-1} | k_1 \\ C_2 &= i_{2,1} \dots i_{2,d-1} | k_2 \\ &\dots \\ C_{n-r} &= i_{n-r,1} \dots i_{n-r,d-1} | k_{n-r} \end{aligned} ,$$

with $i_{s,t} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $k_l \in \{1, \dots, n-r\}$, for $1 \leq s \leq n-r$, $1 \leq t \leq d-1$, $1 \leq l \leq n-r$. By means of a technique similar to the one used by Bier and Manickam in the proof of Lemma 1 of [6], we can claim that there exist exactly $\binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r)$ disjoint partition of type (6.1). Since f is a weight function, at least a row in (6.1) is (d^+, n) -string for f .

Since the partitions are disjoint, if we extract from each of them at least a (d^+, n) -string for f , we get at least $\binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r)$ distinct (d^+, n) -string for f .

Since $r = \frac{d-1}{d}n$, we have

$$\binom{r-1}{d-2}(n-r) = \binom{r-1}{d-2} \frac{r}{d-1} = \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\phi(f, d) \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

This show that

$$\gamma(n, d, r) \geq \binom{r}{d} + \binom{r}{d-1}.$$

The equality follows from Corollary (3.2). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alladi, P. Erdős and J. D. Vaaler, Multiplicative functions and small divisors, *Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Problems*, Vol. 70, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhuser, Boston, MA, 1987, pp. 1–13.
- [2] Zsolt Baranyai, On the factorization of the complete uniform hypergraph. Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erds on his 60th birthday), Vol. I, pp. 91–108. Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, Vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [3] A. Bhattacharya, On a conjecture of Manickam and Singhi, *Discrete Math.*, **272** (2003), 259–261.
- [4] A. Bhattacharya, *Some problems in combinatorics*, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Institute Of Technology, Bombay, India (2004).
- [5] T. Bier, A distribution invariant for association schemes and strongly regular graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **57** (1984), 105–113.
- [6] T. Bier and N. Manickam, The first distribution invariant of the Johnson-scheme, *SEAMS Bull. Math.*, **11** (1987), 61–68.

- [7] T. Bier, P. Delsarte, Some bounds for the distribution numbers of an association scheme, *European J. Combin.*, **9** (1988), 1–5.
- [8] G. Chiaselotti, On a problem concerning the weight functions, *European J. Combin.*, **23** (2002), 15–22.
- [9] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, **12** (1961), 313–320.
- [10] G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, **13** (1972), 183–184.
- [11] N. Manickam, *First distribution invariants of association schemes*, Ph. D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, (1986).
- [12] N. Manickam, Distribution Invariants of Association Schemes, *Congress Numerantium*, **61** (1988), 121–131.
- [13] N. Manickam, First distributed sets in the association scheme of bilinear forms, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, **60** (1991), 465–468.
- [14] N. Manickam and N. M. Singhi, First distribution invariants and EKR theorems, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A*, **48** (1988), 91–103.
- [15] N. Manickam and D. Miklos, On the number of non-negative partial sums of a non-negative sum, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, **52** (1987), 385–392.
- [16] G. Marino and G. Chiaselotti, A method to count the positive 3-subsets in a set of real numbers with non-negative sum, *European J. Combin.*, **23** (2002), 619–629.
- [17] S. Srinivasan, On an Arithmetical Inequality II, *Contemporary Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Vol. 210, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 299–301.
- [18] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A course in combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2001).

GIAMPIERO CHIASILOTTI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

E-mail address: `chiaselotti@unical.it`

GENNARO INFANTE, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

E-mail address: `g.infante@unical.it`

GIUSEPPE MARINO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

E-mail address: `gmarino@unical.it`